Finetuning Randomized Heuristic Search For 2D Path Planning: Finding The Best Input Parameters For R* Algorithm Through Series Of Experiments

<u>Konstantin Yakovlev,</u> Egor Baskin, Ivan Hramoin

Lab "Dynamic Intelligent Systems" Institute for Systems Analysis of Russian Academy of Sciences

yakovlev@isa.ru



AIMSA'2014

My name is Konstantin Yakovlev

- PhD in Theoretical Computer Sciences (2011)
- Live and work in Moscow, Russia
- Institute for Systems Analysis of Russian Academy of Sciences
- Research interests: Artificial Intelligence, Heuristic Search, Path Planning, Robotics
- Current research focus: computationally effective control system for smallscale unmanned aerial vehicles



AIMSA'2014

AIMSA'2014

2D path planning



(1) <u>Goal:</u> autonomous intelligent agents (AI agents) – pathfinding is a must

(2) <u>Problem:</u> existing methods *can not scale well to large problems* and can not solve problems (even "trivial" ones) *under tough resource constraints*

(3) <u>Solution:</u> modification of existing methods and development of new methods and algorithms

2D path planning as searching for a path o grid

AIMSA'2014

Grid – simple yet powerful 2D terrain model



Elfes, A. 1989. Using occupancy grids for mobile robot perception and navigation. Computer, 22(6), 46-57.

Yap, P. 2002. Grid-based path-finding. In Proceedings of 15th Conference of the Canadian Society for Computational Studies of Intelligence, 44-55. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Tozour, P. 2004. Search space representations. In Rabin, S. (Ed.), AI Game Programming Wisdom 2, 85–102. Charles River Media.

AIMSA'2014

Grid - formal definitions

GR=<*A*, *dist*, *c*>

A − **set of cells** which can be represented in matrix-form: $A_{m \times n} = \{a_{ij}\}: a_{ij} = 0 \lor a_{ij} = 1, i, j: 0 \le i \le m, 0 \le j \le n, m, n \in N \setminus \{0\}.$

$$dist - \text{metrics on the set of } A^{+} = \{a_{ij} | a_{ij} \in A, a_{ij} = 0\}$$

$$d(a_{ij}, a_{lk}) = \{\Delta_i(a_{ij}, a_{lk}) = |i-l|, \Delta_j(a_{ij}, a_{lk}) = |j-k|\} =$$

$$\begin{cases} c_{hv} \Delta_j + c_d (\Delta_i - \Delta_j), & \text{if } \Delta_i \ge \Delta_j \\ c_{hv} \Delta_i + c_{hv} (\Delta_j - \Delta_i), & \text{if } \Delta_i < \Delta_j \end{cases}$$

 $c: ADJ \rightarrow \{c_{hv}, c_{d}, +\infty\} - weight function:$

 $ADJ \subset A \times A$ – set of all pairs of adjacent cells $c_{hv} \in R^+$, $c_d = k \cdot c_{hv} 1 \le k \le 2$

$$c(a_{ij}, a_{lk}) = c_{hv} \lor c_d \lor +\infty$$
$$c_{hv} = 10 \qquad c_d = 14$$





Path planning task – formal definitions

$$\pi = \pi(s,g) = \{a_{i_0j_0}, a_{i_1j_1}, \dots, a_{i_{s-1}j_{s-1}}, a_{i_sj_s}\}$$

$$a_{i_0j_0} = s, \quad a_{i_sj_s} = g, \quad a_{i_vj_v}, a_{i_{v+1}j_{v+1}} \in ADJ \ \forall v : 0 < v < s$$

$$a_{i_vj_v} = 0 \ \forall v : 0 \le v \le s$$

Path weight (length)

$$L(\pi) = \sum_{v=1}^{s} c(a_{i_{v-1}j_{v-1}}, a_{i_{v}j_{v}})$$

				g	
s					

AIMSA'2014

Path planning task

Solution

Solution depth

PTask= $\langle Gr, s, g \rangle$

 π (path on Gr from s to g)

D=max{|goalI-startI|, |goalJ-startJ|}

Traditional approach - iterative algorithms (Dijkstra, A* etc)

- Well Studied (*O(D²*)
- Optimal solutions (Dijkstra, A* with metrics as heuristic function)
- Limited number of techniques of reducing the search effort (at the cost of finding suboptimal solutions), e.g. weighted heuristics (WA*), iterative deepening (IDA*), forced limitation of search space (beam search).

AIMSA'2014



Computationally ineffective Can not scale well to large problems Finding a path: decomposition approach

AIMSA'2014

Idea:

1. Choose (somehow) a set of cells (*base cells*)

2.Find (somehow) paths between pairs of set cells (*sections*)

3.Compose final path (with the sections found)



One of decomposition approach: Parameterized random choice

AIMSA'2014

R* algorithm

[Likhachev, M., & Stentz, A. 2008, R* Search. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Third AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. Menlo Park, Calif.: AAAI press.]

Problems:

(1) algorithm performance depends *heavily* on them
(2) initial values of algorithm parameters **are unknown**

R* working principles

- (1) choose the most promising cell *c* from OPEN list (initially containing only start cell)
- (2) select *K* traversable cells residing at the distance Δ from *c*: $SUCC(c) = \{b_1, ..., b_K: dist(b_i, c) = \Delta\}$ called successors of *c* and inserts them into OPEN. If $d(g, c) \leq \Delta$ then goal cell is also added to OPEN.

AIMSA'2014

10

(3) Try to find a local path π(*pred*(*c*), *c*) with WA* algorithm. If the path is not found after the *m* steps of WA* the cell *c* is labeled AVOID and kept in OPEN. If the path is found the cell is removed from OPEN and is inserted into CLOSED list.



Finetuning R*: Finding The Best Input Parameters

AIMSA'2014

- (1) Formulate a range of assumptions concerning possible upper and lower bounds of R* parameters, their interdependency and their influence on R* performance.
- (2) Evaluate the assumptions by running a large number of experiments.
- (3) Formulate set of heuristic rules which can be used to set the values of all R* parameters in a way that leads to algorithm's best performance when solving 2D path planning tasks.

R* implementation note: generating successors

select *K* traversable cells residing at the distance Δ from *c*: $SUCC(c) = \{b_1, ..., b_K: dist(b_i, c) = \Delta\}$ called successors of *c* and inserts them into OPEN. If $d(g, c) \leq \Delta$ then goal cell is also added to OPEN.

			34	30	34			
		28				28		
	34						34	
	30			C			30	
	34						34	
		28				28		
			34	30	34			

Midpoint circle algorithm is used to generate successors

AIMSA'2014

Pitteway, M. L. V. 1985. Algorithms of conic generation. In Fundamental algorithms for computer graphics, 219-237. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

 $\Delta = k \cdot c_{hv} = 10k$ k - radius of circumference measured "in cells"

R* Parameters Influence on Algorithm Performance: *m* – step threshold for the local planner



 $m^* = \max(|i(s) - i(g)|, |j(s) - j(g)|)$ $\Delta = k \cdot c_{hv}$

AIMSA'2014

$$m_{min} = \Delta/c_{hv} = \Delta/10$$

 $m_{max} = \alpha \cdot m_{min}$ α – koef saying how much harder the local pathfinding task than the trivial one is

processing time – influence is high, low values recommended memory consumption – influence is low, low values recommended solution quality – parameter's influence is unevident

> Assumption 1 - low values recommended

R* Parameters Influence on Algorithm Performance: *K* – Number of Successors for Each Expanded Cell



 $\frac{K_{max}}{K_{min}} = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot \Delta / c_{hv} \approx 6 \cdot \Delta / 10$ $\frac{K_{min}}{K_{min}} = 3$

AIMSA'2014

processing time – influence is low, low values recommended *memory consumption* – influence is high, low values recommended *solution quality* – influence is high, high values potentially lead to better solution

Assumption 2 – mid values recommended

R* Parameters Influence on Algorithm Performance: Δ – Distance Between Expanding Cell and it's Successors

			34	30	34			
		28				28		
	34						34	
	30			C			30	
	34						34	
		28				28		
			34	30	34			

 $\Delta = 30$

small $\Delta \approx WA^*$ (bad) big $\Delta \approx$ again WA* (bad)

AIMSA'2014

$$\Delta_{\min} = c_{hv} = 10$$
$$\Delta_{\max} = dist(s, g)$$

processing time - influence is high
memory consumption - influence
is high
solution quality - influence is high

Assumption 3 – mid values recommended

R* Parameters Influence on Algorithm Performance

m – Maximum Number of Steps for the Local Planner

processing time – parameter's influence is high, low values recommended *memory consumption* – parameter's influence is low, low values recommended *solution quality* – parameter's influence is unevident

AIMSA'2014

Assumption 1 - low values recommended

K – Number of Successors for Each Expanded Cell

processing time – parameter's influence is low, low values recommended *memory consumption* – degree of impact is high, low values recommended *solution quality* – degree of impact is high, high values potentially lead to better solution

Assumption 2 – mid values recommended

△ – Distance Between Expanding Cell and it's Successors

processing time – parameter's influence is high, mid values recommended memory consumption – parameter's influence is high, mid values recommended solution quality – parameter's influence is high, mid values recommended Assumption 3 – mid values recommended

R^{*} Parameters Lower and Upper Bounds

Parameter	Theoretical	"Common sense"			
	lower/upper bounds	lower/upper bounds			
т	$[\Delta/10; T], T -$ number of grid cells	[Δ /10; 2 Δ]			
K	[1; 6·Δ/10]	[3; ∆ /5]			
Δ	$[c_h, dist(s, g)]$	$[dist(s, g)/k_1; dist(s, g)/k_2],$ $3 < k_1 < 10, k_2 \ge 100$			

AIMSA'2014

(1) *m* and K can be expressed as linear functions of ∆ (∆ – is the key parameter)
(2) ∆ can be expressed as linear function of start and goal locations.

Experimental Analysis

5250 of experiments on 3 types of grids:

- **randomly generated grids** containing **rectangle shaped** obstacles of different sizes (1750 experiments);

- randomly generated grids containing tetris-shaped obstacles of different sizes (1750 experiments);

AIMSA'2014

18

- grids which model city landscape (1750 experiments).



19

AIMSA'2014

m influence

 $m = [\Delta/10..2\Delta] = [75..1000]$ $K = \Delta/10=50$ $\Delta = dist(s, g)/10 = 500$

$m = 100 = \Delta/5$





Time

20

AIMSA'2014

K influence

 $m = \Delta/5 = 100$ $K = [3..\Delta/5] = 3..100$ $\Delta = dist(s, g)/10 = 500$

1 0.9 ■K 3 0.8 ■K 5 0.7 K 7 0.6 K 10 0.5 K 25 0.4 0.3 K 50 0.2 K 70 0.1 K 100 0 -Length

 $K = 25 = \Delta/20$



AIMSA'2014



Experimental Analysis



AIMSA'2014



2) **K** influence



3) ∆ influence



Summary – set of heuristic rules for automatic parameterization of R*

1. R* performance depends *heavily* on the values of its parameters

AIMSA'2014

- 2. Assigning them in a wrong way can lead to dramatic (more than **10 times**) fall in computational efficiency.
- 3. There exist a set of rules which can be used to **automatically initialize R*** input parameters in such a way that leads to best performance:

 $\Delta = dist(s, g)/10;$ $K = \max(10, \Delta/20);$ $m = \Delta/5.$

4. Presented bindings are **dependent only of start and goal locations** which are known a priori.

Questions?

Konstantin Yakovlev

Lab "Dynamic Intelligent Systems" Institute for Systems Analysis of Russian Academy of Sciences

<u>yakovlev@isa.ru</u>

AIMSA'2014

